Case 1:08-cv-06898-CM Document 2 Filed 08/07/2008 Page 1 of 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Ronald Riddick, Plaintiff(s), 08 Civ. 6898 (CM) (DCF) -against-The City of New York. a Municipal Entity, et al,

Defendant(s),

## ORDER SCHEDULING AN INITIAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

McMAHON, District Judge.

This action having been assigned to me for all purposes, it is hereby, ORDERED as follows:

- 1. Counsel receiving this order shall contact all counsel of record (or where a party is not yet represented, such party) and, if necessary, provide them with a copy of this order.
- 2. Counsel for all parties are directed to confer, complete and sign the attached "Civil Case Management Plan" and to fax it to the court within Thirty (30) Days. The parties are free to set their own deadlines as long as the plan provides for the completion of all discovery within six months of this order and the filing of a proposed "joint final-pretrial order," within forty-five days of the close of discovery. (The "trial ready" date is to be left blank.)

If a conforming case management plan is timely submitted, the parties need not appear for an initial conference. If the parties fail to agree upon such a plan or fail to submit the plan to the court within the time provided (thirty days from the date of this order), the parties must appear for a conference on 10/24/2008 in courtroom 21B, 500 Pearl Street, New York, New York 10007 at 10:15 a.m.

- 3. Any party desiring a conference with the Court for purposes of discussing settlement, narrowing of issues, or other pertinent pretrial matters may request a conference by faxing a letter to the court.
- 4. If a motion is filed prior to the conference date, the parties must attend the conference, even if counsel have agreed upon a case management plan. Upon request, the court will adjourn the conference until the motion is fully briefed.
- 5. Parties are to follow Judge McMahon's practice rules. To access a copy of the Judge's rules go to the court's website www.nvsd.uscourts.gov and click on "Judge McMahon."
- 6. Judge McMahon's rules governing electronic discovery are automatically in force in this case. The Judge's rules on electronic discovery can be found on the court's website. Mikel

Dated: August 7, 2008

Colleen McMahon U.S.D.J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX		
Ronald Riddick,		
	Plaintiff(s),	08 Civ. 6898 (CM) (DCF)
-against-		
The City of New York, a Municipal Entity, et al,		
	Defendant(s).	
		X
and cases		A and ERISA benefits cases, curities Litigation Reform Act)
2. Discovery pursu	uant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a	a) shall be exchanged by
3. No additional pa	arties may be joined after	·
4. No pleading mag	y be amended after	·
Supreme Court's observat discovery is conducted, co	ion that the issue of qual unsel representing any d th the special procedure	S.C. § 1983: In keeping with the United States ified immunity should be decided before efendant who intends to claim qualified set forth in Judge McMahon's individual
	nent on the ground of qu	nualified immunity rules constitutes a waiver of nalified immunity prior to trial. Please identify munity grounds.
-	(For perso	o, must be completed on or before onal injury, civil rights, employment Plaintiff's deposition shall be taken first, and

	Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B), well before the expiration of ming with Rule 26 must be made no later than the; Defendant(s)
7. Judge McMahon's Rules governing e case. The parties must comply with those rules The text of the order will be found at	

11. The parties may at any time consent to have this case tried before the assigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636(c).

	tered or amended only on a showing of good cause is entered. Counsel should not assume that utine.
Dated: New York, New York	
Upon consent of the parties: [signatures of all counsel]	
	SO ORDERED:
	Hon. Colleen McMahon United States District Judge